IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO: 2711 of 2000

Between:

Baskar Degree College, Parvathipuram, Rep. by its Student Union,

President Sri E. Chandra Mohan, S/o. Sreeramulu, Narisipuram

Village, Parvathipuram, Vizianagaram District.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The A.P. State Council, Higher Education, Rep. by its Secretary, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 The A.P.S.R.T.C., Rep. by its Regional Manager, Vizianagaram, District: Vizianagaram.
3 The District B.C Social Welfare Officer, Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.
4 The Commissioner of Social Welfare (B.C) A.P., Sankeshama Bhavan, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.
5 The Principal, Baskar Degree College, Parvathipuram, Vizianagaram District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus:- i) declaring the action of the 1st respondent imposing condition No.8 in proceedings Rc.No. APSCHE/NDC/Sec/98-99 dated 29.6.98 to the 5th respondent college is arbitrary, illegal, discriminatory and violative of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. ii) Declaring the action of the respondents 2 and 5 in denying to issue concessional bus passes and scholarship and par with the other recognized

Degree Colleges is arbitrary, illegal, discriminatory and unconstitutional. iii) Consequently direct the respondents to extend all such benefits are being enjoyed by the Government Degree college students to the petitioner and similarly situated students joined in the 5th respondent Degree College.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up today, none appears for the petitioner as well as the respondents. By lapse of time, the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

June 15, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to. –

1 *The Secretary, A.P. State Council, Higher Education,*
Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 *The Regional Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C.,,*
Vizianagaram, District: Vizianagaram.
3 *The District B.C Social Welfare Officer,*
Vizianagaram, Vizianagaram District.
4 *The Commissioner of Social Welfare (B.C) A.P.,*
Sankeshama Bhavan, Masab Tank,
Hyderabad.

Two C.C. s to the G.P. for Higher Education, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

Two C.D. Copies.